# Long Range Plan Public Comment Summary (March 26 -May 7, 2007)

#### Total received = 31 comments

#### Comments received from:

Region 1 7 comments (Tremonton, 5 Eden) Region 2 2 comments (2 West Jordan)

Region 3 5 comments (Vernal, Orem, Heber, Provo)

Region 4 13 comments (4 Ephraim, 2 Manti, Blanding, Mayfield, Sigurd, Moab,

Hurricane)

### Comments received on specific project proposals:

• SR-132/Nephi Canyon 6 comments received from Regions 3 and 4 (5 comments encouraging more lanes built for safety and congestion reasons, and 1 comment encouraging construction to pay attention to environmental concerns)

• SR-89 1 comment from Ephraim encouraging widening.

### Comments received on projects not currently listed in the LRP:

- Heber Bypass 2 comments: 1 question about current plans for this project and 1 request that north-south access be maintained to Daniels Road if ever constructed.
- SR-118 and SR-24 interchange 1 comment (Sigurd, UT)
  Request for merge lane because of heavy truck traffic. There is currently a merge lane for trucks going one direction and it is needed in both directions.
- Bingham Highway 1 comment (West Jordan)
  Business community would like to see a high-speed facility built.
- "Trappers' Loop" 1 comment (Eden, UT)
  Trappers' Loop should be paved making a connection between the old highway and I-84, as well as paving the Avon divide so it's open all year.
- SR-88 "Seep Ridge Road" 1 comment (Vernal, UT)
   Need for project to be listed and growth in the Vernal area to be considered in plans

## Maintenance Concerns: 2 comments (only one mentioned a particular route)

• SR-191 (Bluff and South to Arizona Border) many trucks and road poorly maintained.

#### Bicycle Advocates: 4 comments

All four comments expressed safety concerns and encouraged bike lanes/accommodations be made on all state roads, also promotion of bicycle tourism, pedestrian safety mentioned.

#### Transit requests: 4 comments

3 transit requests for service to Ogden Valley (Eden)

1 request for added rail capacity rather than added freeway capacity

Other: 8 comments (1 from Wasatch RPO about realigning project priorities within their boundaries, 1 question about freight planning, 3 speed limit concerns, 2 comments on local roads not under UDOT jurisdiction, 1 question about planning process.)